

SUMMARY OF PRODUCT CHARACTERISTICS

1 NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead® 200 mg/25 mg/245 mg film-coated tablets

2 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

Each film-coated tablet contains 200 mg of emtricitabine, 25 mg of rilpivirine (as hydrochloride) and 245 mg of tenofovir disoproxil (as fumarate).

Excipients with known effect

Each film-coated tablet contains 277 mg lactose monohydrate and 4 micrograms sunset yellow aluminium lake (E110).

For the full list of excipients, see section 6.1.

3 PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

Film-coated tablet.

Purplish-pink, capsule-shaped, film-coated tablet of dimensions 19 mm x 8.5 mm, debossed on one side with “GSI” and plain on the other side.

4 CLINICAL PARTICULARS

4.1 Therapeutic indications

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is indicated for the treatment of adults infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) without known mutations associated with resistance to the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase

inhibitor (NNRTI) class, tenofovir or emtricitabine, and with a viral load $\leq 100,000$ HIV-1 RNA copies/mL (see sections 4.2, 4.4 and 5.1).

Genotypic resistance testing and/or historical resistance data should guide the use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see sections 4.4 and 5.1).

4.2 Posology and method of administration

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be initiated by a physician experienced in the management of HIV infection.

Posology

Adults

The recommended dose of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is one tablet, taken orally, once daily. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead **must be taken with food** (see section 5.2).

Where discontinuation of therapy with one of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is indicated or where dose modification is necessary, separate preparations of emtricitabine, rilpivirine hydrochloride and tenofovir disoproxil are available. Please refer to the Summary of Product Characteristics for these medicinal products.

If a patient misses a dose of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead within 12 hours of the time it is usually taken, the patient should take Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with food as soon as possible and resume the normal dosing schedule. If a patient misses a dose of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead by more than 12 hours, the patient should not take the missed dose and simply resume the usual dosing schedule.

If a patient vomits within 4 hours of taking Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead another Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead tablet should be taken with food. If a patient vomits more than 4 hours after taking Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead they do not need to take another dose of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead until the next regularly scheduled dose.

Dose adjustment

If Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is co-administered with rifabutin, an additional 25 mg tablet of rilpivirine per day is recommended to be taken concomitantly with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, for the duration of the rifabutin co-administration (see section 4.5).

Special populations

Elderly

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has not been studied in patients over the age of 65 years. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be administered with caution to elderly patients (see sections 4.4 and 5.2).

Renal impairment

Treatment with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead resulted in an early small increase of mean serum creatinine levels which remained stable over time and is not considered clinically relevant (see section 4.8).

Limited data from clinical studies support once daily dosing of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in patients with mild renal impairment (creatinine clearance (CrCl) 50-80 mL/min). However, long-term safety data for the emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead have not been evaluated in patients with mild renal impairment. Therefore, in patients with mild renal impairment Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should only be used if the potential benefits of treatment outweigh the potential risks (see sections 4.4 and 5.2).

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is not recommended for patients with moderate or severe renal impairment (CrCl < 50 mL/min). Patients with moderate or severe renal impairment require a dose interval adjustment of emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil that cannot be achieved with the combination tablet (see sections 4.4 and 5.2).

Hepatic impairment

There is limited information regarding the use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh-Turcotte (CPT) Score A or B). No dose adjustment of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is required in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be used with caution in patients with moderate hepatic impairment. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment (CPT Score C). Therefore, Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is not recommended in patients with severe hepatic impairment (see sections 4.4 and 5.2).

If Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is discontinued in patients co-infected with HIV and hepatitis B virus (HBV), these patients should be closely monitored for evidence of exacerbation of hepatitis (see section 4.4).

Paediatric population

The safety and efficacy of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in children under the age of 18 years have not been established. Currently available data are described in section 5.2, but no recommendation on a posology can be made.

Pregnancy

Lower exposures of rilpivirine (one of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead) were observed during pregnancy; therefore viral load should be monitored closely. Alternatively, switching to another antiretroviral regimen could be considered (see sections 4.4, 4.6, 5.1 and 5.2).

Method of administration

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must be taken orally, once daily with food (see section 5.2). It is recommended that Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead be swallowed whole with water. The film-coated tablet should not

be chewed, crushed or split as it may impact the absorption of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.

4.3 Contraindications

Hypersensitivity to the active substances or to any of the excipients listed in section 6.1.

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be co-administered with the following medicinal products as significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations may occur (due to cytochrome P450 [CYP]3A enzyme induction or gastric pH increase), which may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead:

- the anticonvulsants carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin
- the antimycobacterials rifampicin, rifapentine
- proton pump inhibitors, such as omeprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, pantoprazole, rabeprazole
- the systemic glucocorticoid dexamethasone, except as a single dose treatment
- St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*)

4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use

Virologic failure and development of resistance

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has not been evaluated in patients with previous virologic failure to any other antiretroviral therapy. There is not sufficient data to justify the use in patients with prior NNRTI failure. Resistance testing and/or historical resistance data should guide the use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 5.1).

In the pooled efficacy analysis from the two Phase III clinical studies (C209 [ECHO] and C215 [THRIVE]) through 96 weeks, patients treated with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil + rilpivirine with a baseline viral load > 100,000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL had a greater risk of virologic failure (17.6% with rilpivirine *versus* 7.6% with efavirenz) compared to patients with a baseline viral load ≤ 100,000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL (5.9% with rilpivirine *versus* 2.4% with efavirenz). The virologic failure rate in patients treated with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil + rilpivirine at week 48 and week 96 was 9.5% and 11.5% respectively, and 4.2% and 5.1% in the emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil + efavirenz arm. The difference in the rate of new virologic failures from the week 48 to week 96 analysis between rilpivirine and efavirenz arms was not statistically significant. Patients with a baseline viral load > 100,000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL who experienced virologic failure exhibited a higher rate of treatment-emergent resistance to the NNRTI class. More patients who failed virologically on rilpivirine than who failed virologically on efavirenz developed lamivudine/emtricitabine associated resistance (see section 5.1).

Cardiovascular

At supratherapeutic doses (75 mg and 300 mg once daily), rilpivirine has been associated with prolongation of the QTc interval of the electrocardiogram (ECG) (see sections 4.5 and 5.1). Rilpivirine at the recommended dose of 25 mg once daily is not associated with a clinically relevant effect on QTc. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir

Disoproxil Gilead should be used with caution when co-administered with medicinal products with a known risk of Torsade de Pointes.

Co-administration of other medicinal products

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with other medicinal products containing emtricitabine, tenofovir disoproxil, tenofovir alafenamide, or other cytidine analogues, such as lamivudine (see section 4.5). Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with rilpivirine hydrochloride unless needed for dose adjustment with rifabutin (see sections 4.2 and 4.5).

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with adefovir dipivoxil (see section 4.5).

Co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and didanosine is not recommended (see section 4.5).

Renal impairment

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is not recommended for patients with moderate or severe renal impairment ($\text{CrCl} < 50 \text{ mL/min}$). Patients with moderate or severe renal impairment require a dose interval adjustment of emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil that cannot be achieved with the combination tablet (see sections 4.2 and 5.2). Use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be avoided with concurrent or recent use of a nephrotoxic medicinal product (see section 4.5). If concomitant use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and nephrotoxic agents is unavoidable, renal function must be monitored weekly (see sections 4.5 and 4.8).

Cases of acute renal failure after initiation of high dose or multiple non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been reported in patients treated with tenofovir disoproxil and with risk factors for renal dysfunction. If Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is co-administered with an NSAID, renal function should be monitored adequately.

Renal failure, renal impairment, elevated creatinine, hypophosphataemia and proximal tubulopathy (including Fanconi syndrome) have been reported with the use of tenofovir disoproxil in clinical practice (see section 4.8).

It is recommended that CrCl is calculated in all patients prior to initiating therapy with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and renal function (CrCl and serum phosphate) is also monitored after two to four weeks of treatment, after three months of treatment and every three to six months thereafter in patients without renal risk factors. In patients at risk for renal impairment, a more frequent monitoring of renal function is required.

If serum phosphate is $< 1.5 \text{ mg/dL}$ (0.48 mmol/L) or CrCl is decreased to $< 50 \text{ mL/min}$ in any patient receiving Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, renal function should be re-evaluated within one week, including measurements of blood glucose, blood potassium and urine glucose concentrations (see section 4.8, proximal tubulopathy). Since Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is a combination product and the dosing interval of the individual components cannot be altered, treatment with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must be interrupted in patients with confirmed CrCl decreased to $< 50 \text{ mL/min}$ or decreases in

serum phosphate to < 1.0 mg/dL (0.32 mmol/L). Interrupting treatment with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should also be considered in case of progressive decline of renal function when no other cause has been identified. Where discontinuation of therapy with one of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is indicated or where dose modification is necessary, separate preparations of emtricitabine, rilpivirine hydrochloride and tenofovir disoproxil are available.

Bone effects

A dual energy X ray absorptiometry (DXA) substudy for both the Phase III studies (C209 and C215) investigated the effect of rilpivirine as compared with control, overall and by background regimen on changes in whole body bone mineral density (BMD) and bone mineral content (BMC) at week 48 and week 96. DXA substudies showed that small but statistically significant decreases from baseline in whole body BMD and BMC were similar for rilpivirine and control at week 48 and week 96. There was no difference in the change from baseline in whole body BMD or BMC for rilpivirine compared with control, in the overall population or in those patients treated with a backbone regimen including tenofovir disoproxil.

Bone abnormalities such as osteomalacia which can manifest as persistent or worsening bone pain and, which can infrequently contribute to fractures may be associated with tenofovir disoproxil-induced proximal renal tubulopathy (see section 4.8).

Reductions of BMD have been observed with tenofovir disoproxil in randomized controlled clinical trials of duration up to 144 weeks in HIV or HBV-infected patients. These BMD decreases generally improved after treatment discontinuation.

In other studies (prospective and cross-sectional), the most pronounced decreases in BMD were seen in patients treated with tenofovir disoproxil as part of a regimen containing a boosted protease inhibitor (PI). Overall, in view of the bone abnormalities associated with tenofovir disoproxil and the limitations of long term data on the impact of tenofovir disoproxil on bone health and fracture risk, alternative treatment regimens should be considered for patients with osteoporosis or with a history of bone fractures.

If bone abnormalities are suspected or detected then appropriate consultation should be obtained.

Patients with HIV and hepatitis B or C virus co-infection

Patients with chronic hepatitis B or C treated with antiretroviral therapy are at an increased risk for severe and potentially fatal hepatic adverse reactions.

Physicians should refer to current HIV treatment guidelines for the optimal management of HIV infection in patients co-infected with HBV.

In case of concomitant antiviral therapy for hepatitis B or C, please refer also to the relevant Summary of Product Characteristics for these medicinal products.

The safety and efficacy of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead have not been established for the treatment of chronic HBV infection. Emtricitabine and tenofovir individually and in combination have shown activity against HBV in pharmacodynamic studies (see section 5.1).

Discontinuation of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead therapy in patients co-infected with HIV and HBV may be associated with severe acute exacerbations of hepatitis. Patients co-infected with HIV and HBV who discontinue Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be closely monitored with both clinical and laboratory follow-up for at least several months after stopping treatment. If appropriate, resumption of hepatitis B therapy may be warranted. In patients with advanced liver disease or cirrhosis, treatment discontinuation is not recommended since post-treatment exacerbation of hepatitis may lead to hepatic decompensation.

Liver disease

The safety and efficacy of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead have not been established in patients with significant underlying liver disorders. The pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine has not been studied in patients with hepatic impairment. Emtricitabine is not significantly metabolised by liver enzymes, so the impact of liver impairment should be limited. No dose adjustment is required for rilpivirine hydrochloride in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment (CPT Score A or B). Rilpivirine hydrochloride has not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment (CPT Score C). The pharmacokinetics of tenofovir has been studied in patients with hepatic impairment and no dose adjustment is required in these patients.

It is unlikely that a dose adjustment would be required for Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment (see sections 4.2 and 5.2). Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be used with caution in patients with moderate hepatic impairment (CPT Score B) and is not recommended in patients with severe hepatic impairment (CPT Score C).

Patients with pre-existing liver dysfunction, including chronic active hepatitis, have an increased frequency of liver function abnormalities during combination antiretroviral therapy (CART) and should be monitored according to standard practice. If there is evidence of worsening liver disease in such patients, interruption or discontinuation of treatment must be considered.

Severe skin reactions

Cases of severe skin reactions with systemic symptoms have been reported during post-marketing experience with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, including but not limited to rashes accompanied by fever, blisters, conjunctivitis, angioedema, elevated liver function tests, and/or eosinophilia. These symptoms resolved after Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead was discontinued. As soon as serious skin and/or mucosal reactions are observed, Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must be discontinued and appropriate therapy should be initiated.

Weight and metabolic parameters

An increase in weight and in levels of blood lipids and glucose may occur during antiretroviral therapy. Such changes may in part be linked to disease control and life style. For lipids, there is in some cases evidence for a treatment effect, while for weight gain there is no strong evidence relating this to any particular treatment. For

monitoring of blood lipids and glucose reference is made to established HIV treatment guidelines. Lipid disorders should be managed as clinically appropriate.

Mitochondrial dysfunction following exposure *in utero*

Nucleos(t)ide analogues may impact mitochondrial function to a variable degree, which is most pronounced with stavudine, didanosine and zidovudine. There have been reports of mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV negative infants exposed *in utero* and/or postnatally to nucleoside analogues; these have predominantly concerned treatment with regimens containing zidovudine. The main adverse reactions reported are haematological disorders (anaemia, neutropenia) and metabolic disorders (hyperlactatemia, hyperlipasemia). These events have often been transitory. Late onset neurological disorders have been reported rarely (hypertonia, convulsion, abnormal behaviour). Whether such neurological disorders are transient or permanent is currently unknown. These findings should be considered for any child exposed *in utero* to nucleos(t)ide analogues, who present with severe clinical findings of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic findings. These findings do not affect current national recommendations to use antiretroviral therapy in pregnant women to prevent vertical transmission of HIV.

Immune Reactivation Syndrome

In HIV infected patients with severe immune deficiency at the time of institution of CART, an inflammatory reaction to asymptomatic or residual opportunistic pathogens may arise and cause serious clinical conditions, or aggravation of symptoms. Typically, such reactions have been observed within the first few weeks or months of initiation of CART. Relevant examples are cytomegalovirus retinitis, generalised and/or focal mycobacterial infections, and *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia. Any inflammatory symptoms should be evaluated and treatment instituted when necessary.

Autoimmune disorders (such as Graves' disease and autoimmune hepatitis) have also been reported to occur in the setting of immune reactivation; however, the reported time to onset is more variable and these events can occur many months after initiation of treatment.

Osteonecrosis

Although the aetiology is considered to be multifactorial (including corticosteroid use, alcohol consumption, severe immunosuppression, higher body mass index), cases of osteonecrosis have been reported particularly in patients with advanced HIV disease and/or long-term exposure to CART. Patients should be advised to seek medical advice if they experience joint aches and pain, joint stiffness or difficulty in movement.

Elderly

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has not been studied in patients over the age of 65 years. Elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, therefore caution should be exercised when treating elderly patients with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see sections 4.2 and 5.2).

Pregnancy

Lower exposures of rilpivirine were observed when rilpivirine 25 mg once daily was taken during pregnancy. In the Phase III studies (C209 and C215), lower rilpivirine exposure, similar to that seen during pregnancy, has been associated with an increased

risk of virological failure, therefore viral load should be monitored closely (see sections 4.6, 5.1 and 5.2). Alternatively, switching to another antiretroviral regimen could be considered.

Excipients

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead contains lactose monohydrate. Patients with rare hereditary problems of galactose intolerance, total lactase deficiency or glucose-galactose malabsorption should not take this medicinal product.

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead contains a colourant called sunset yellow aluminium lake (E110), which may cause allergic reactions.

4.5 Interaction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction

As Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead contains emtricitabine, rilpivirine hydrochloride and tenofovir disoproxil, any interactions that have been identified with these active substances individually may occur with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead. Interaction studies with these active substances have only been performed in adults.

Rilpivirine is primarily metabolised by CYP3A. Medicinal products that induce or inhibit CYP3A may thus affect the clearance of rilpivirine (see section 5.2).

Concomitant use contraindicated

Co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and medicinal products that induce CYP3A has been observed to decrease the plasma concentrations of rilpivirine which could potentially lead to loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).

Co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with proton pump inhibitors has been observed to decrease the plasma concentrations of rilpivirine (due to an increase in gastric pH) which could potentially lead to loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).

Concomitant use not recommended

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with other medicinal products containing emtricitabine, tenofovir disoproxil or tenofovir alafenamide. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with rilpivirine hydrochloride unless needed for dose adjustment with rifabutin (see section 4.2).

Due to similarities with emtricitabine, Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with other cytidine analogues, such as lamivudine (see section 4.4). Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be administered concomitantly with adefovir dipivoxil.

Didanosine

The co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and didanosine is not recommended (see section 4.4 and Table 1).

Renally eliminated medicinal products

Since emtricitabine and tenofovir are primarily eliminated by the kidneys, co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with medicinal products that reduce renal function or compete for active tubular secretion (e.g. cidofovir) may increase serum concentrations of emtricitabine, tenofovir and/or the co-administered medicinal products.

Use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be avoided with concurrent or recent use of a nephrotoxic medicinal product. Some examples include, but are not limited to, aminoglycosides, amphotericin B, foscarnet, ganciclovir, pentamidine, vancomycin, cidofovir or interleukin-2 (also called aldesleukin).

Other NNRTIs

It is not recommended to co-administer Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with other NNRTIs.

Concomitant use where caution is recommended

Cytochrome P450 enzyme inhibitors

Co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with medicinal products that inhibit CYP3A enzyme activity has been observed to increase rilpivirine plasma concentrations.

QT prolonging medicinal products

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be used with caution when co-administered with a medicinal product with a known risk of Torsade de Pointes. There is limited information available on the potential for a pharmacodynamic interaction between rilpivirine and medicinal products that prolong the QTc interval of the electrocardiogram. In a study of healthy subjects, supratherapeutic doses of rilpivirine (75 mg once daily and 300 mg once daily) have been shown to prolong the QTc interval of the ECG (see section 5.1).

P-glycoprotein substrates

Rilpivirine inhibits P-glycoprotein (P-gp) *in vitro* (IC₅₀ is 9.2 µM). In a clinical study rilpivirine did not significantly affect the pharmacokinetics of digoxin. However, it may not be completely excluded that rilpivirine can increase the exposure to other medicinal products transported by P-gp that are more sensitive to intestinal P-gp inhibition (e.g. dabigatran etexilate).

Rilpivirine is an *in vitro* inhibitor of the transporter MATE-2K with an IC₅₀ of < 2.7 nM. The clinical implications of this finding are currently unknown.

Other interactions

Interactions between Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead or its individual component(s) and co-administered medicinal products are listed in Table 1 below (increase is indicated as “↑”, decrease as “↓” and no change as “↔”).

Table 1: Interactions between Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead or its individual component(s) and other medicinal products

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C_{max} , C_{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
ANTI-INFECTIVES		
Antiretrovirals		
Nucleoside or nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs/N[t]RTIs)		
Didanosine/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	Co-administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and didanosine is not recommended (see section 4.4).
Didanosine (400 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Didanosine: AUC: ↑ 12% C_{min} : N/A C_{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↔ C_{max} : ↔	
Didanosine/Tenofovir disoproxil	Co-administration of tenofovir disoproxil and didanosine results in a 40-60% increase in systemic exposure to didanosine.	Increased systemic exposure to didanosine may increase didanosine related adverse reactions. Rarely, pancreatitis and lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, have been reported. Co-administration of tenofovir disoproxil and didanosine at a dose of 400 mg daily has been associated with a significant decrease in CD4+ cell count, possibly due to an intracellular interaction increasing phosphorylated (i.e. active) didanosine. A decreased dosage of 250 mg didanosine co-administered with tenofovir disoproxil therapy has been associated with reports of high rates of virological failure within several tested combinations for the treatment of HIV-1 infection.

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C_{max} , C_{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
Protease inhibitors (PIs) - boosted (with co-administration of low-dose ritonavir)		
Atazanavir/Ritonavir/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	Concomitant use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with ritonavir-boosted PIs causes an increase in the plasma concentrations of rilpivirine (inhibition of CYP3A enzymes). No dose adjustment is required.
Atazanavir/Ritonavir/Rilpivirine	Interaction not studied.	
Atazanavir (300 mg once daily)/ Ritonavir (100 mg once daily)/ Tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg once daily)	Atazanavir: AUC: ↓ 25% C_{max} : ↓ 28% C_{min} : ↓ 26% Tenofovir: AUC: ↑ 37% C_{max} : ↑ 34% C_{min} : ↑ 29%	
Darunavir/Ritonavir/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	
Darunavir (800 mg once daily)/ Ritonavir (100 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Darunavir: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↓ 11% C_{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↑ 130% C_{min} : ↑ 178% C_{max} : ↑ 79%	
Darunavir (300 mg once daily)/ Ritonavir (100 mg once daily)/ Tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg once daily)	Darunavir: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↑ 22% C_{min} : ↑ 37%	
Lopinavir/Ritonavir/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	
Lopinavir (400 mg twice daily)/ Ritonavir (100 mg twice daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹ (soft capsule)	Lopinavir: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↓ 11% C_{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↑ 52% C_{min} : ↑ 74% C_{max} : ↑ 29%	
Lopinavir (400 mg twice daily)/ Ritonavir (100 mg twice daily)/ Tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg once daily)	Lopinavir/Ritonavir: AUC: ↔ C_{max} : ↔ C_{min} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↑ 32% C_{max} : ↔ C_{min} : ↑ 51%	
CCR5 antagonists		
Maraviroc/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No clinically relevant drug-drug interaction is expected.
Maraviroc/Rilpivirine	Interaction not studied.	
Maraviroc (300 mg twice daily)/ Tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg once daily)	AUC: ↔ C_{max} : ↔ Tenofovir concentrations not measured, no effect is expected.	No dose adjustment is required.

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
Integrase strand transfer inhibitors		
Raltegravir/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No clinically relevant drug-drug interaction is expected.
Raltegravir/Rilpivirine	Raltegravir: AUC: ↑ 9% C _{min} : ↑ 27% C _{max} : ↑ 10% Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↔ C _{max} : ↔	No dose adjustment is required.
Raltegravir (400 mg twice daily)/ Tenofovir disoproxil	Raltegravir: AUC: ↑ 49% C _{12h} : ↑ 3% C _{max} : ↑ 64% (mechanism of interaction unknown) Tenofovir: AUC: ↓ 10% C _{12h} : ↓ 13% C _{max} : ↓ 23%	
Other antiviral agents		
Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir (90 mg/400 mg once daily)/ Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/ Tenofovir disoproxil (200 mg/25 mg/245 mg once daily)	Ledipasvir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Sofosbuvir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ GS-331007 ⁴ : AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Emtricitabine: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↑ 40% C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↑ 91%	No dose adjustment is recommended. The increased exposure of tenofovir could potentiate adverse reactions associated with tenofovir disoproxil, including renal disorders. Renal function should be closely monitored (see section 4.4).

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
Sofosbuvir/Velpatasvir (400 mg/100 mg once daily)/ Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir disoproxil (200 mg/25 mg/245 mg once daily)	Sofosbuvir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ GS-331007 ⁴ : AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Velpatasvir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Emtricitabine: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↑ 40% C _{max} : ↑ 44% C _{min} : ↑ 84%	No dose adjustment is recommended. The increased exposure of tenofovir could potentiate adverse reactions associated with tenofovir disoproxil, including renal disorders. Renal function should be closely monitored (see section 4.4).

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
Sofosbuvir/Velpatasvir/Voxilaprevir (400 mg/100 mg/100 mg + 100 mg once daily) ⁵ /Rilpivirine/Emtricitabine (25 mg/200 mg once daily) ⁶	<p>Interaction not studied with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.</p> <p><i>Expected:</i> Sofosbuvir: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔</p> <p>GS-331007⁴: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔ C_{min}: ↔</p> <p>Velpatasvir: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔ C_{min}: ↔</p> <p>Voxilaprevir AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔ C_{min}: ↔</p> <p>Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C_{min}: ↔ C_{max}: ↔</p> <p>Emtricitabine: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔ C_{min}: ↔</p> <p>Tenofovir: AUC: ↑ C_{max}: ↑ C_{min}: ↑</p>	No dose adjustment is recommended. The increased exposure of tenofovir could potentiate adverse reactions associated with tenofovir disoproxil, including renal disorders. Renal function should be closely monitored (see section 4.4).
Sofosbuvir/Emtricitabine Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily)/Rilpivirine (25 mg once daily)	<p>Interaction not studied.</p> <p>Sofosbuvir: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↑ 21%</p> <p>GS-331007⁴: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔</p> <p>Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔ C_{min}: ↔</p>	No dose adjustment is required.
Sofosbuvir/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	
Ribavirin/Tenofovir disoproxil	<p>Ribavirin: AUC: ↔ C_{max}: ↔ C_{min}: N/A</p>	No dose adjustment is required.

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
Herpesvirus antiviral agents		
Famciclovir/Emtricitabine	Famciclovir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : N/A Emtricitabine: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : N/A	No dose adjustment is required.
Antifungals		
Ketoconazole/Emtricitabine Ketoconazole (400 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹ Fluconazole ² Itraconazole ² Posaconazole ² Voriconazole ²	Interaction not studied. Ketoconazole: AUC: ↓ 24% C _{min} : ↓ 66% C _{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↑ 49% C _{min} : ↑ 76% C _{max} : ↑ 30%	Concomitant use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with azole antifungal agents may cause an increase in the plasma concentrations of rilpivirine (inhibition of CYP3A enzymes). At a dose of 25 mg of rilpivirine, no dose adjustment is required.
Ketoconazole/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	
Antimycobacterials		
Rifabutin/Emtricitabine Rifabutin (300 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ³ Rifabutin (300 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine (25 mg once daily) Rifabutin (300 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine (50 mg once daily)	Interaction not studied. Rifabutin: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↔ C _{max} : ↔ 25-O-desacetyl-rifabutin: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↔ C _{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↓ 42% C _{min} : ↓ 48% C _{max} : ↓ 31% Rilpivirine: AUC: ↑ 16%* C _{min} : ↔* C _{max} : ↑ 43%* *compared to 25 mg once daily rilpivirine alone	Co-administration is likely to cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (induction of CYP3A enzymes). When Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is co-administered with rifabutin, an additional 25 mg tablet of rilpivirine per day is recommended to be taken concomitantly with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, for the duration of the rifabutin co-administration.
Rifabutin/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
Rifampicin/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must not be used in combination with rifampicin as co-administration is likely to cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (induction of CYP3A enzymes). This may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).
Rifampicin (600 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Rifampicin: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↔ 25-desacetyl-rifampicin: AUC: ↓ 9% C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↓ 80% C _{min} : ↓ 89% C _{max} : ↓ 69%	
Rifampicin (600 mg once daily)/ Tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg once daily)	Rifampicin: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔	
Rifapentine ²	Interaction not studied with any components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must not be used in combination with rifapentine as co-administration is likely to cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (induction of CYP3A enzymes). This may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).
Macrolide antibiotics		
Clarithromycin Erythromycin	Interaction not studied with any components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	The combination of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with these macrolide antibiotics may cause an increase in the plasma concentrations of rilpivirine (inhibition of CYP3A enzymes). Where possible, alternatives such as azithromycin should be considered.
ANTICONVULSANTS		
Carbamazepine Oxcarbazepine Phenobarbital Phenytoin	Interaction not studied with any components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must not be used in combination with these anticonvulsants as co-administration may cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (induction of CYP3A enzymes). This may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
GLUCOCORTICOIDS		
Dexamethasone (systemic, except for single dose use)	Interaction not studied with any components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should not be used in combination with systemic dexamethasone (except as a single dose) as co-administration may cause significant dose dependent decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (induction of CYP3A enzymes). This may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3). Alternatives should be considered, particularly for long-term use.
PROTON PUMP INHIBITORS		
Omeprazole/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must not be used in combination with proton pump inhibitors as co-administration is likely to cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (reduced absorption, increase in gastric pH). This may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).
Omeprazole (20 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹ Lansoprazole ² Rabeprazole ² Pantoprazole ² Esomeprazole ²	Omeprazole: AUC: ↓ 14% C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↓ 14% Rilpivirine: AUC: ↓ 40% C _{min} : ↓ 33% C _{max} : ↓ 40%	
Omeprazole/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	
H₂-RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS		
Famotidine/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	The combination of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and H ₂ -receptor antagonists should be used with particular caution as co-administration may cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (reduced absorption, increase in gastric pH). Only H ₂ -receptor antagonists that can be dosed once daily should be used. A strict dosing schedule with intake of the H ₂ -receptor antagonists at least 12 hours before or at least 4 hours after Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should be used.
Famotidine (40 mg single dose taken 12 hours before rilpivirine)/ Rilpivirine ¹ Cimetidine ² Nizatidine ² Ranitidine ²	Rilpivirine: AUC: ↓ 9% C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↔	
Famotidine (40 mg single dose taken 2 hours before rilpivirine)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Rilpivirine: AUC: ↓ 76% C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↓ 85%	
Famotidine (40 mg single dose taken 4 hours after rilpivirine)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Rilpivirine: AUC: ↑ 13% C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↑ 21%	
Famotidine/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C_{max} , C_{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
ANTACIDS		
Antacids (e.g. aluminium or magnesium hydroxide, calcium carbonate)	Interaction not studied with any of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	The combination of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and antacids should be used with caution as co-administration may cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations (reduced absorption, gastric pH increase). Antacids should only be administered either at least 2 hours before or at least 4 hours after Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.
NARCOTIC ANALGESICS		
Methadone/Emtricitabine Methadone (60-100 mg once daily, individualised dose)/Rilpivirine	Interaction not studied. R(-) methadone: AUC: ↓ 16% C_{min} : ↓ 22% C_{max} : ↓ 14% Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔* C_{min} : ↔* C_{max} : ↔* *based on historic controls	No dose adjustments are required when initiating co-administration of methadone with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead. However, clinical monitoring is recommended as methadone maintenance therapy may need to be adjusted in some patients.
Methadone/Tenofovir disoproxil	Methadone: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↔ C_{max} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↔ C_{max} : ↔	
ANALGESICS		
Paracetamol/Emtricitabine Paracetamol (500 mg single dose)/Rilpivirine ¹	Interaction not studied. Paracetamol: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : N/A C_{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↑ 26% C_{max} : ↔	No dose adjustment is required.
Paracetamol/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
ORAL CONTRACEPTIVES		
Ethinylestradiol/Norethindrone/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment is required.
Ethinylestradiol (0.035 mg once daily)/Rilpivirine Norethindrone (1 mg once daily)/Rilpivirine	Ethinylestradiol: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↔ C _{max} : ↑ 17% Norethindrone: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↔ C _{max} : ↔ Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔* C _{min} : ↔* C _{max} : ↔* *based on historic controls	
Ethinylestradiol/Norethindrone/Tenofovir disoproxil	Ethinylestradiol: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ Tenofovir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔	
Norgestimate/Ethinylestradiol/Tenofovir disoproxil	Norgestimate: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : N/A Ethinylestradiol: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : ↔	No dose adjustment is required.
ANTIARRHYTHMICS		
Digoxin/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment is required.
Digoxin/Rilpivirine	Digoxin: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↔	
Digoxin/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	
ANTICOAGULANTS		
Dabigatran etexilate	Interaction not studied with any of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	A risk for increases in dabigatran plasma concentrations cannot be excluded (inhibition of intestinal P-gp). The combination of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and dabigatran etexilate should be used with caution.

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
IMMUNOSUPPRESSANTS		
Tacrolimus/Tenofovir disoproxil/ Emtricitabine	Tacrolimus: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : N/A Emtricitabine: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : N/A Tenofovir: AUC: ↔ C _{max} : ↔ C _{min} : N/A	No dose adjustment is required.
ANTIDIABETICS		
Metformin/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment is required.
Metformin (850 mg single dose)/ Rilpivirine	Metformin: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : N/A C _{max} : ↔	
Metformin/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	
HERBAL PRODUCTS		
St. John's wort (<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>)	Interaction not studied with any of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must not be used in combination with products containing St. John's wort as co-administration may cause significant decreases in rilpivirine plasma concentrations. This may result in loss of therapeutic effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.3).
HMG CO-A REDUCTASE INHIBITORS		
Atorvastatin/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment is required.
Atorvastatin (40 mg once daily)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Atorvastatin: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↓ 15% C _{max} : ↑ 35% Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C _{min} : ↔ C _{max} : ↓ 9%	
Atorvastatin/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on medicinal product levels. Mean percent change in AUC, C_{max} , C_{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead
PHOSPHODIESTERASE TYPE 5 (PDE-5) INHIBITORS		
Sildenafil/Emtricitabine	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment is required.
Sildenafil (50 mg single dose)/ Rilpivirine ¹	Sildenafil: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : N/A C_{max} : ↔	
Vardenafil ² Tadalafil ²	Rilpivirine: AUC: ↔ C_{min} : ↔ C_{max} : ↔	
Sildenafil/Tenofovir disoproxil	Interaction not studied.	

N/A = not applicable

- 1 This interaction study has been performed with a dose higher than the recommended dose for rilpivirine hydrochloride assessing the maximal effect on the co-administered medicinal product. The dosing recommendation is applicable to the recommended dose of rilpivirine of 25 mg once daily.
- 2 These are medicinal products within class where similar interactions could be predicted.
- 3 This interaction study has been performed with a dose higher than the recommended dose for rilpivirine hydrochloride assessing the maximal effect on the co-administered medicinal product.
- 4 The predominant circulating metabolite of sofosbuvir.
- 5 Study conducted with additional voxilaprevir 100 mg to achieve voxilaprevir exposures expected in hepatitis C virus (HCV) infected patients.
- 6 Study conducted with emtricitabine/rilpivirine/tenofovir alafenamide fixed-dose combination tablet.

4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation

Women of childbearing potential / contraception in males and females

The use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must be accompanied by the use of effective contraception.

Pregnancy

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead or its components in pregnant women. A moderate amount of data on pregnant women (between 300-1,000 pregnancy outcomes) indicate no malformative or foeto/neonatal toxicity of rilpivirine (see sections 4.4, 5.1 and 5.2). Lower exposures of rilpivirine were observed during pregnancy; therefore viral load should be monitored closely. A large amount of data on pregnant women (more than 1,000 pregnancy outcomes) indicate no malformative nor foetal/neonatal toxicity associated with emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil.

Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity (see section 5.3) with the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.

The use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead may be considered during pregnancy, if necessary.

Breast-feeding

Emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil are excreted in human milk. It is not known whether rilpivirine is excreted in human milk. Rilpivirine is excreted in the milk of rats.

There is insufficient information on the effects of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in newborns/infants.

Because of the potential for adverse reactions in breastfed infants, women should be instructed not to breast-feed if they are receiving Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead.

In order to avoid transmission of HIV to the infant it is recommended that women living with HIV do not breast-feed their infants.

Fertility

No human data on the effect of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead on fertility are available. Animal studies do not indicate harmful effects of emtricitabine, rilpivirine hydrochloride or tenofovir disoproxil on fertility.

4.7 Effects on ability to drive and use machines

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has no or negligible influence on the ability to drive and use machines. However, patients should be informed that fatigue, dizziness and somnolence have been reported during treatment with the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.8). This should be considered when assessing a patient's ability to drive or operate machinery.

4.8 Undesirable effects

Summary of the safety profile

The combination of emtricitabine, rilpivirine and tenofovir disoproxil has been studied as the component products in treatment-naïve patients (Phase III studies C209 and C215). The single-tablet regimen (STR), Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, has been studied in virologically suppressed patients who switched from a regimen containing a ritonavir-boosted PI (Phase III study GS-US-264-0106) or from efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil (Phase IIb study GS-US-264-0111). In treatment-naïve patients, the most frequently reported adverse reactions considered possibly or probably related to rilpivirine hydrochloride and emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil were nausea (9%), dizziness (8%), abnormal dreams (8%), headache (6%), diarrhoea (5%) and insomnia (5%) (pooled data from the Phase III clinical studies C209 and C215, see section 5.1). In virologically suppressed patients switching to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, the most frequently reported adverse reactions considered possibly or probably related to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead were fatigue (3%), diarrhoea (3%), nausea (2%) and insomnia (2%) (48 week data from the Phase III study GS-US-264-0106). The safety profile of emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil in these studies was consistent with the previous experience with these agents when each was administered with other antiretroviral agents.

In patients receiving tenofovir disoproxil, rare events of renal impairment, renal failure and uncommon events of proximal renal tubulopathy (including Fanconi syndrome) sometimes leading to bone abnormalities (infrequently contributing to fractures) have been reported. Monitoring of renal function is recommended for patients receiving Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.4).

Discontinuation of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead therapy in patients co-infected with HIV and HBV may be associated with severe acute exacerbations of hepatitis (see section 4.4).

Tabulated summary of adverse reactions

The adverse reactions considered at least possibly related to treatment with the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead from clinical study and post-marketing experience are listed in Table 2, below, by body system organ class and frequency. Within each frequency grouping, undesirable effects are presented in order of decreasing seriousness. Frequencies are defined as very common ($\geq 1/10$), common ($\geq 1/100$ to $< 1/10$), uncommon ($\geq 1/1,000$ to $< 1/100$) or rare ($\geq 1/10,000$ to $< 1/1,000$).

Table 2: Tabulated summary of adverse reactions to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead based on clinical study and post-marketing experience with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and its individual components

Frequency	Adverse reaction
<i>Blood and lymphatic system disorders</i>	
Common:	neutropenia ¹ , decreased white blood cell count ² , decreased haemoglobin ² , decreased platelet count ²
Uncommon:	anaemia ^{1,4}
<i>Immune system disorders</i>	
Common:	allergic reaction ¹
Uncommon:	immune reactivation syndrome
<i>Metabolism and nutrition disorders</i>	
Very common:	increased total cholesterol (fasted) ² , increased LDL-cholesterol (fasted) ² , hypophosphataemia ^{3,5}
Common:	hypertriglyceridaemia ^{1,2} , hyperglycaemia ¹ , decreased appetite ²
Uncommon:	hypokalaemia ^{3,5}
Rare:	lactic acidosis ³
<i>Psychiatric disorders</i>	
Very common:	insomnia ^{1,2}
Common:	depression ² , depressed mood ² , sleep disorders ² , abnormal dreams ^{1,2}
<i>Nervous system disorders</i>	
Very common:	headache ^{1,2,3} , dizziness ^{1,2,3}
Common:	somnolence ²
<i>Gastrointestinal disorders</i>	
Very common:	increased pancreatic amylase ² , vomiting ^{1,2,3} , diarrhoea ^{1,3} , nausea ^{1,2,3}
Common:	elevated amylase including elevated pancreatic amylase ¹ , elevated serum lipase ^{1,2} , abdominal pain ^{1,2,3} , abdominal discomfort ² , abdominal distension ³ , dyspepsia ¹ , flatulence ³ , dry mouth ²
Uncommon:	pancreatitis ³
<i>Hepatobiliary disorders</i>	
Very common:	increased transaminases (AST and/or ALT) ^{1,2,3}
Common:	increased bilirubin ^{1,2}
Rare:	hepatitis ³ , hepatic steatosis ³

Frequency	Adverse reaction
<i>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders</i>	
Very common:	rash ^{1, 2, 3}
Common:	vesiculobullous rash ¹ , pustular rash ¹ , urticaria ¹ , skin discolouration (increased pigmentation) ^{1, 4} , maculopapular rash ¹ , pruritus ¹
Uncommon:	angioedema ^{1, 3, 6} , severe skin reactions with systemic symptoms ⁷
<i>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</i>	
Very common:	elevated creatine kinase ¹
Common:	bone mineral density decreased ³
Uncommon:	rhabdomyolysis ^{3, 5} , muscular weakness ^{3, 5}
Rare:	osteomalacia (manifested as bone pain and infrequently contributing to fractures) ^{3, 5, 8} , myopathy ^{3, 5}
<i>Renal and urinary disorders</i>	
Uncommon:	proximal renal tubulopathy including Fanconi syndrome ³ , increased creatinine ³ , proteinuria ³
Rare:	renal failure (acute and chronic) ³ , acute tubular necrosis ³ , nephritis (including acute interstitial nephritis) ^{3, 8} , nephrogenic diabetes insipidus ³
<i>General disorders and administration site conditions</i>	
Very common:	asthenia ^{1, 3}
Common:	pain ¹ , fatigue ²

1 Adverse reaction identified for emtricitabine.

2 Adverse reaction identified for rilpivirine hydrochloride.

3 Adverse reaction identified for tenofovir disoproxil.

4 Anaemia was common and skin discolouration (increased pigmentation) was very common when emtricitabine was administered to paediatric patients (see section 4.8, *Paediatric population*).

5 This adverse reaction may occur as a consequence of proximal renal tubulopathy. It is not considered to be causally associated with tenofovir disoproxil in the absence of this condition.

6 This was a rare adverse reaction for tenofovir disoproxil. It was also identified as an adverse reaction for emtricitabine through post-marketing surveillance but was not observed in randomised controlled clinical studies in adults or paediatric HIV clinical studies of emtricitabine. The frequency category of uncommon was estimated from a statistical calculation based on the total number of patients exposed to emtricitabine in these clinical studies (n = 1,563).

7 This adverse reaction was identified through post-marketing surveillance for Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (fixed-dose combination) but not observed in randomised controlled clinical studies for Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead. The frequency category was estimated from a statistical calculation based on the total number of patients exposed to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead or all of its components in randomised controlled clinical studies (n = 1,261). See section 4.8, *Description of selected adverse reactions*.

8 This adverse reaction was identified through post-marketing surveillance for tenofovir disoproxil but not observed in randomised controlled clinical studies or the expanded access program for tenofovir disoproxil. The frequency category was estimated from a statistical calculation based on the total number of patients exposed to tenofovir disoproxil in randomised controlled clinical studies and the expanded access program (n = 7,319).

Laboratory abnormalities

Lipids

At 96 weeks in the pooled Phase III C209 and C215 studies of treatment-naïve patients, in the rilpivirine arm the mean change from baseline in total cholesterol (fasted) was 5 mg/dL, in high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol (fasted) 4 mg/dL, in low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol (fasted) 1 mg/dL, and in triglycerides (fasted) -7 mg/dL. At 48 weeks in Phase III study GS-US-264-0106 of virologically suppressed patients switching to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead from a regimen containing a ritonavir-boosted PI, the mean change from baseline in total cholesterol (fasted) was -24 mg/dL, in HDL-cholesterol (fasted) -2 mg/dL, in LDL-cholesterol (fasted) -16 mg/dL, and in triglycerides (fasted) -64 mg/dL.

Description of selected adverse reactions

Renal impairment

As Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead may cause renal damage, monitoring of renal function is recommended (see sections 4.4 and 4.8, *Summary of the safety profile*). Proximal renal tubulopathy generally resolved or improved after tenofovir disoproxil discontinuation. However, in some patients, declines in CrCl did not completely resolve despite tenofovir disoproxil discontinuation. Patients at risk of renal impairment (such as patients with baseline renal risk factors, advanced HIV disease, or patients receiving concomitant nephrotoxic medications) are at increased risk of experiencing incomplete recovery of renal function despite tenofovir disoproxil discontinuation (see section 4.4).

Lactic acidosis

Cases of lactic acidosis have been reported with tenofovir disoproxil alone or in combination with other antiretrovirals. Patients with predisposing factors such as patients with decompensated liver disease, or patients receiving concomitant medications known to induce lactic acidosis are at increased risk of experiencing severe lactic acidosis during tenofovir disoproxil treatment, including fatal outcomes.

Metabolic parameters

Weight and levels of blood lipids and glucose may increase during antiretroviral therapy (see section 4.4).

Immune Reactivation Syndrome

In HIV infected patients with severe immune deficiency at the time of initiation of CART, an inflammatory reaction to asymptomatic or residual opportunistic infections may arise. Autoimmune disorders (such as Graves' disease and autoimmune hepatitis) have also been reported; however, the reported time to onset is more variable and these events can occur many months after initiation of treatment (see section 4.4).

Osteonecrosis

Cases of osteonecrosis have been reported, particularly in patients with generally acknowledged risk factors, advanced HIV disease or long-term exposure to CART. The frequency of this is unknown (see section 4.4).

Severe skin reactions

Severe skin reactions with systemic symptoms have been reported during post-marketing experience with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, including rashes accompanied by fever, blisters, conjunctivitis, angioedema, elevated liver function tests, and/or eosinophilia (see section 4.4).

Paediatric population

Insufficient safety data are available for children under the age of 18 years. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is not recommended in this population (see section 4.2).

When emtricitabine (one of the components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead) was administered to paediatric patients, the following adverse reactions were observed more frequently in addition to the adverse reactions reported in adults: anaemia was common (9.5%) and skin discolouration (increased pigmentation) was very common (31.8%) in paediatric patients (see section 4.8, *Tabulated summary of adverse reactions*).

Other special populations

Elderly

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has not been studied in patients over the age of 65 years. Elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, therefore caution should be exercised when treating elderly patients with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.4).

Patients with renal impairment

Since tenofovir disoproxil can cause renal toxicity, close monitoring of renal function is recommended in any patient with renal impairment treated with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see sections 4.2, 4.4 and 5.2).

HIV/HBV or HCV co-infected patients

The adverse reaction profile of emtricitabine, rilpivirine hydrochloride and tenofovir disoproxil in patients co-infected with HIV/HBV or HIV/HCV was similar to that observed in patients infected with HIV without co-infection. However, as would be expected in this patient population, elevations in AST and ALT occurred more frequently than in the general HIV infected population.

Exacerbations of hepatitis after discontinuation of treatment

In HIV infected patients co-infected with HBV, clinical and laboratory evidence of hepatitis have occurred after discontinuation of treatment (see section 4.4).

Reporting of suspected adverse reactions

Reporting suspected adverse reactions after authorisation of the medicinal product is important. It allows continued monitoring of the benefit/risk balance of the medicinal product. Healthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the *Yellow Card Scheme*

Website: www.mhra.gov.uk/yellowcard or search for MHRA Yellow Card in the Google Play or Apple App Store.

4.9 Overdose

An increased risk of adverse reactions associated with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and its individual components may be seen in the event of an overdose.

If overdose occurs the patient must be monitored for evidence of toxicity (see section 4.8), and standard supportive treatment applied as necessary including observation of the clinical status of the patient and monitoring of vital signs and ECG (QT interval).

There is no specific antidote for overdose with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead. Up to 30% of the emtricitabine dose and approximately 10% of the tenofovir dose can be removed by haemodialysis. It is not known whether emtricitabine or tenofovir can be removed by peritoneal dialysis. Since rilpivirine is highly protein bound, dialysis is unlikely to result in significant removal of the active substance. Further management should be as clinically indicated or as recommended by the national poisons centre, where available.

5 PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

5.1 Pharmacodynamic properties

Pharmacotherapeutic group: Antiviral for systemic use; antivirals for treatment of HIV infections, combinations. ATC code: J05AR08.

Mechanism of action and pharmacodynamic effects

Emtricitabine is a nucleoside analogue of cytidine. Tenofovir disoproxil is converted *in vivo* to tenofovir, a nucleoside monophosphate (nucleotide) analogue of adenosine monophosphate. Both emtricitabine and tenofovir have activity that is specific to HIV-1, HIV-2 and, HBV.

Rilpivirine is a diarylpyrimidine NNRTI of HIV-1. Rilpivirine activity is mediated by non-competitive inhibition of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT).

Emtricitabine and tenofovir are phosphorylated by cellular enzymes to form emtricitabine triphosphate and tenofovir diphosphate, respectively. *In vitro* studies have shown that both emtricitabine and tenofovir can be fully phosphorylated when combined together in cells. Emtricitabine triphosphate and tenofovir diphosphate competitively inhibit HIV-1 RT, resulting in DNA chain termination.

Both emtricitabine triphosphate and tenofovir diphosphate are weak inhibitors of mammalian DNA polymerases and there was no evidence of toxicity to mitochondria *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Rilpivirine does not inhibit the human cellular DNA polymerases α , β and mitochondrial DNA polymerase γ .

Antiviral activity *in vitro*

The triple combination of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir demonstrated synergistic antiviral activity in cell culture.

The antiviral activity of emtricitabine against laboratory and clinical isolates of HIV-1 was assessed in lymphoblastoid cell lines, the MAGI-CCR5 cell line, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells. The 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}) values for emtricitabine were in the range of 0.0013 to 0.64 μ M.

Emtricitabine displayed antiviral activity in cell culture against HIV-1 subtype A, B, C, D, E, F, and G (EC_{50} values ranged from 0.007 to 0.075 μ M) and showed strain specific activity against HIV-2 (EC_{50} values ranged from 0.007 to 1.5 μ M).

In combination studies of emtricitabine with NRTIs (abacavir, didanosine, lamivudine, stavudine, tenofovir, and zidovudine), NNRTIs (delavirdine, efavirenz, nevirapine, and rilpivirine), and PIs (amprenavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, and saquinavir), additive to synergistic effects were observed.

Rilpivirine exhibited activity against laboratory strains of wild-type HIV-1 in an acutely infected T-cell line with a median EC_{50} value for HIV-1/IIIB of 0.73 nM (0.27 ng/mL). Although rilpivirine demonstrated limited *in vitro* activity against HIV-2 with EC_{50} values ranging from 2,510 to 10,830 nM (920 to 3,970 ng/mL), treatment of HIV-2 infection with rilpivirine hydrochloride is not recommended in the absence of clinical data.

Rilpivirine also demonstrated antiviral activity against a broad panel of HIV-1 group M (subtype A, B, C, D, F, G, H) primary isolates with EC₅₀ values ranging from 0.07 to 1.01 nM (0.03 to 0.37 ng/mL) and group O primary isolates with EC₅₀ values ranging from 2.88 to 8.45 nM (1.06 to 3.10 ng/mL).

The antiviral activity of tenofovir against laboratory and clinical isolates of HIV-1 was assessed in lymphoblastoid cell lines, primary monocyte/macrophage cells and peripheral blood lymphocytes. The EC₅₀ values for tenofovir were in the range of 0.04 to 8.5 µM.

Tenofovir displayed antiviral activity in cell culture against HIV-1 subtype A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and O (EC₅₀ values ranged from 0.5 to 2.2 µM) and strain specific activity against HIV-2 (EC₅₀ values ranged from 1.6 to 5.5 µM).

In combination studies of tenofovir with NRTIs (abacavir, didanosine, emtricitabine, lamivudine, stavudine, and zidovudine), NNRTIs (delavirdine, efavirenz, nevirapine, and rilpivirine), and PIs (amprenavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, and saquinavir), additive to synergistic effects were observed.

Resistance

Considering all of the available *in vitro* data and data generated in previously untreated patients, the following resistance-associated mutations in HIV-1 RT, when present at baseline, may affect the activity of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead: K65R, K70E, K101E, K101P, E138A, E138G, E138K, E138Q, E138R, V179L, Y181C, Y181I, Y181V, M184I, M184V, Y188L, H221Y, F227C, M230I, M230L and the combination of L100I and K103N.

A negative impact by NNRTI mutations other than those listed above (e.g. mutations K103N or L100I as single mutations) cannot be excluded, since this was not studied *in vivo* in a sufficient number of patients.

As with other antiretroviral medicinal products, resistance testing and/or historical resistance data should guide the use of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead (see section 4.4).

In cell culture

Resistance to emtricitabine or tenofovir has been seen *in vitro* and in some HIV-1 infected patients due to the development of the M184V or M184I substitution in RT with emtricitabine, or the K65R substitution in RT with tenofovir. In addition, a K70E substitution in HIV-1 RT has been selected by tenofovir and results in low-level reduced susceptibility to abacavir, emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine. No other pathways of resistance to emtricitabine or tenofovir have been identified.

Emtricitabine-resistant viruses with the M184V/I mutation were cross-resistant to lamivudine, but retained sensitivity to didanosine, stavudine, tenofovir, zalcitabine and zidovudine. The K65R mutation can also be selected by abacavir or didanosine and results in reduced susceptibility to these agents plus to lamivudine, emtricitabine, and tenofovir. Tenofovir disoproxil should be avoided in patients with HIV-1 harbouring the K65R mutation. The K65R, M184V, and K65R+M184V mutants of HIV-1 remain fully susceptible to rilpivirine.

Rilpivirine-resistant strains were selected in cell culture starting from wild-type HIV-1 of different origins and subtypes as well as NNRTI-resistant HIV-1. The most

commonly observed resistance-associated mutations that emerged included L100I, K101E, V108I, E138K, V179F, Y181C, H221Y, F227C and M230I.

In treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected patients

For the resistance analyses, a broader definition of virologic failure was used than in the primary efficacy analysis. In the cumulative week 96 pooled resistance analysis for patients receiving rilpivirine in combination with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil, a greater risk of virologic failure for patients in the rilpivirine arm was observed within the first 48 weeks of these studies (11.5% in the rilpivirine arm and 4.2% in the efavirenz arm) while low rates of virologic failure, similar between the treatment arms, were observed from the week 48 to week 96 analysis (15 patients or 2.7% in the rilpivirine arm and 14 patients or 2.6% in the efavirenz arm). Of these virologic failures 5/15 (rilpivirine) and 5/14 (efavirenz) were in patients with a baseline viral load of $\leq 100,000$ copies/mL.

In the week 96 pooled resistance analysis for patients receiving emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil + rilpivirine hydrochloride in the Phase III clinical studies C209 and C215, there were 78 virologic failure patients with genotypic resistance information available for 71 of those patients. In this analysis, the NNRTI resistance-associated mutations that developed most commonly in these patients were: V90I, K101E, E138K/Q, V179I, Y181C, V189I, H221Y and F227C. The most common mutations were the same in the week 48 and week 96 analyses. In the studies, the presence of the mutations V90I and V189I at baseline did not affect the response. The E138K substitution emerged most frequently during rilpivirine treatment, commonly in combination with the M184I substitution. 52% of patients with virologic failure in the rilpivirine arm developed concomitant NNRTI and NRTI mutations. The mutations associated with NRTI resistance that developed in 3 or more patients were: K65R, K70E, M184V/I and K219E during the treatment period.

Through week 96, fewer patients in the rilpivirine arm with baseline viral load $\leq 100,000$ copies/mL had emerging resistance-associated substitutions and/or phenotypic resistance to rilpivirine (7/288) than patients with baseline viral load $> 100,000$ copies/mL (30/262). Among those patients who developed resistance to rilpivirine, 4/7 patients with baseline viral load $\leq 100,000$ copies/mL and 28/30 patients with baseline viral load $> 100,000$ copies/mL had cross-resistance to other NNRTIs.

In virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected patients

Study GS-US-264-0106

Of the 469 Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead-treated patients [317 patients who switched to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead at baseline (Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead arm) and 152 patients who switched at week 24 (Delayed Switch arm)], a total of 7 patients were analysed for resistance development and all had genotypic and phenotypic data available. Through week 24, two patients who switched to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead at baseline (2 of 317 patients, 0.6%) and one patient who maintained their ritonavir-boosted PI-based regimen [Stayed on Baseline Regimen (SBR) arm] (1 of 159 patients, 0.6%) developed genotypic and/or phenotypic resistance to study drugs. After week 24, the HIV-1 from 2 additional patients in the Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead arm developed resistance by week 48 (total of 4 of 469 patients, 0.9%). The remaining 3 Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead-treated patients did not have emergent resistance.

The most common emergent resistance mutations in Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead-treated patients were M184V/I

and E138K in RT. All patients remained susceptible to tenofovir. Of the 24 patients treated with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead who had the NNRTI-associated K103N substitution pre-existing at baseline in their HIV-1, 17 of 18 patients in the Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead arm and 5 of 6 patients in the SBR arm maintained virologic suppression after switching to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead through 48 weeks and 24 weeks of treatment, respectively. One patient with pre-existing K103N at baseline had virologic failure with additional emergent resistance by week 48.

Study GS-US-264-0111

Through week 48, no emergent resistance developed in the 2 patients who failed virologically among patients who switched to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead from efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil (0 of 49 patients).

Cross-resistance

No significant cross-resistance has been demonstrated between rilpivirine-resistant HIV-1 variants and emtricitabine or tenofovir, or between emtricitabine- or tenofovir-resistant variants and rilpivirine.

In cell culture

Emtricitabine

Emtricitabine-resistant viruses with the M184V/I substitution were cross-resistant to lamivudine, but retained sensitivity to didanosine, stavudine, tenofovir, and zidovudine.

Viruses harbouring substitutions conferring reduced susceptibility to stavudine and zidovudine-thymidine analogue-associated mutations-TAMs (M41L, D67N, K70R, L210W, T215Y/F, K219Q/E), or didanosine (L74V) remained sensitive to emtricitabine. HIV-1 containing the K103N substitution or other substitutions associated with resistance to rilpivirine and other NNRTIs was susceptible to emtricitabine.

Rilpivirine hydrochloride

In a panel of 67 HIV-1 recombinant laboratory strains with one resistance-associated mutation at RT positions associated with NNRTI resistance, including the most commonly found K103N and Y181C, rilpivirine showed antiviral activity against 64 (96%) of these strains. The single resistance-associated mutations associated with a loss of susceptibility to rilpivirine were: K101P and Y181V/I. The K103N substitution alone did not result in reduced susceptibility to rilpivirine, but the combination of K103N and L100I resulted in a 7-fold reduced susceptibility to rilpivirine. In another study, the Y188L substitution resulted in a reduced susceptibility to rilpivirine of 9-fold for clinical isolates and 6-fold for site-directed mutants.

Tenofovir disoproxil

The K65R and also the K70E substitution result in reduced susceptibility to abacavir, didanosine, lamivudine, emtricitabine, and tenofovir, but retain sensitivity to zidovudine.

Patients with HIV-1 expressing three or more TAMs that included either the M41L or L210W RT substitution showed reduced response to tenofovir disoproxil.

Virologic response to tenofovir disoproxil was not reduced in patients with HIV-1 that expressed the abacavir/emtricitabine/lamivudine resistance-associated M184V substitution.

HIV-1 containing the K103N, Y181C, or rilpivirine-associated substitutions with resistance to NNRTIs were susceptible to tenofovir.

In treatment-naïve patients

Resistance outcomes, including cross-resistance to other NNRTIs, in patients receiving rilpivirine hydrochloride in combination with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil in Phase III studies (C209 and C215 pooled data) and experiencing virological failure, are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Phenotypic resistance and cross-resistance outcomes from studies C209 and C215 (pooled data) for patients receiving rilpivirine hydrochloride in combination with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil at week 96 (based on resistance analysis)

	In patients with phenotypic data (n = 66)	In patients with BL VL ¹ ≤ 100,000 copies/mL (n = 22)	In patients with BL VL ¹ > 100,000 copies/mL (n = 44)
Resistance to rilpivirine ²	31/66	4/22	27/44
Cross-resistance ³ to			
etravirine	28/31	3/4	25/27
efavirenz	27/31	3/4	24/27
nevirapine	13/31	1/4	12/27
Resistance to emtricitabine/lamivudine (M184I/V)	40/66	9/22	31/44
Resistance to tenofovir (K65R)	2/66	0/22	2/44

1 BL VL = Baseline viral load.

2 Phenotypic resistance to rilpivirine (> 3.7-fold change compared to control).

3 Phenotypic resistance (Antivirogram).

In virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected patients

In study GS-US-264-0106, 4 of the 469 patients who switched from a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI)-based regimen to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead had HIV-1 with reduced susceptibility to at least one component of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead through week 48. *De novo* resistance to emtricitabine/lamivudine was seen in 4 cases, and also to rilpivirine in 2 cases, with a consequent cross-resistance to efavirenz (2/2), nevirapine (2/2) and etravirine (1/2).

Effects on electrocardiogram

The effect of rilpivirine hydrochloride at the recommended dose of 25 mg once daily on the QTcF interval was evaluated in a randomised, placebo and active (moxifloxacin 400 mg once daily) controlled crossover study in 60 healthy adults, with 13 measurements over 24 hours at steady-state. Rilpivirine hydrochloride at the recommended dose of 25 mg once daily is not associated with a clinically relevant effect on QTc.

When supratherapeutic doses of 75 mg once daily and 300 mg once daily of rilpivirine hydrochloride were studied in healthy adults, the maximum mean time-matched (95% upper confidence bound) differences in QTcF interval from placebo after baseline correction were 10.7 (15.3) and 23.3 (28.4) ms, respectively. Steady-state administration of rilpivirine hydrochloride 75 mg once daily and 300 mg once daily resulted in a mean C_{max} approximately 2.6-fold and 6.7-fold, respectively, higher than

the mean steady-state C_{max} observed with the recommended 25 mg once daily dose of rilpivirine hydrochloride.

Clinical experience

Treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected patients

The efficacy of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is based on the analyses of 96 week data from two randomised, double-blind, controlled studies C209 and C215. Antiretroviral treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected patients were enrolled (n = 1,368) who had a plasma HIV-1 RNA \geq 5,000 copies/mL and were screened for susceptibility to N(t)RTI and for absence of specific NNRTI resistance-associated mutations. The studies are identical in design with the exception of the background regimen (BR). Patients were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to receive either rilpivirine hydrochloride 25 mg (n = 686) once daily or efavirenz 600 mg (n = 682) once daily in addition to a BR. In study C209 (n = 690), the BR was emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil. In study C215 (n = 678), the BR consisted of 2 investigator selected N(t)RTIs: emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil (60%, n = 406) or lamivudine/zidovudine (30%, n = 204) or abacavir plus lamivudine (10%, n = 68).

In the pooled analysis for C209 and C215 of patients who received a background regimen of emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil, demographic and baseline characteristics were balanced between the rilpivirine and efavirenz arm. Table 4 displays selected demographic and baseline disease characteristics. Median plasma HIV-1 RNA was 5.0 and 5.0 \log_{10} copies/mL and median CD4+ count was 247 x 10⁶ cells/L and 261 x 10⁶ cells/L for patients randomised to rilpivirine and efavirenz arm, respectively.

Table 4: Demographic and baseline characteristics of antiretroviral treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected adult patients in studies C209 and C215 (pooled data for patients receiving rilpivirine hydrochloride or efavirenz in combination with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil) at week 96

	Rilpivirine + Emtricitabine/Tenofovir disoproxil n = 550	Efavirenz + Emtricitabine/Tenofovir disoproxil n = 546
Demographic Characteristics		
Median age (range), years	36.0 (18-78)	36.0 (19-69)
Sex		
Male	78%	79%
Female	22%	21%
Ethnicity		
White	64%	61%
Black/African American	25%	23%
Asian	10%	13%
Other	1%	1%
Not allowed to ask per local regulations	1%	1%
Baseline disease characteristics		
Median baseline plasma HIV-1 RNA (range), \log_{10} copies/mL	5.0 (2-7)	5.0 (3-7)
Median baseline CD4+ cell count (range), x 10 ⁶ cells/L	247 (1-888)	261 (1-857)
Percentage of patients with HBV/HCV co-infection	7.7%	8.1%

A subgroup analysis of the virologic response (< 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL) at both 48 weeks and 96 weeks, and virologic failure by baseline viral load (pooled data from the two Phase III clinical studies, C209 and C215, for patients receiving the emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil background regimen) is presented in Table 5. The response rate (confirmed undetectable viral load < 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL) at week 96 was comparable between the rilpivirine arm and the efavirenz arm. The incidence of virologic failure was higher in the rilpivirine arm than in the efavirenz arm at week 96; however, most of the virologic failures occurred within the first 48 weeks of treatment. Discontinuations due to adverse events were higher in the efavirenz arm at week 96 than in the rilpivirine arm.

Table 5: Virologic outcomes of randomised treatment of studies C209 and C215 (pooled data for patients receiving rilpivirine hydrochloride or efavirenz in combination with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil) at week 48 (primary) and week 96

	Rilpivirine + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 550	Efavirenz + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 546	Rilpivirine + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 550	Efavirenz + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 546
	Week 48		Week 96	
Overall response (HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL (TLOVR ^a)) ^b	83.5% (459/550) (80.4, 86.6)	82.4% (450/546) (79.2, 85.6)	76.9% (423/550)	77.3% (422/546)
By baseline viral load (copies/mL)				
≤ 100,000	89.6% (258/288) (86.1, 93.1)	84.8% (217/256) (80.4, 89.2)	83.7% (241/288)	80.8% (206/255)
> 100,000	76.7% (201/262) (71.6, 81.8)	80.3% (233/290) (75.8, 84.9)	69.5% (182/262)	74.2% (216/291)
By baseline CD4+ cell count (x 10⁶ cells/L)				
< 50	51.7% (15/29) (33.5, 69.9)	79.3% (23/29) (64.6, 94.1)	48.3% (28.9, 67.6)	72.4% (55.1, 89.7)
≥ 50-200	80.9% (123/152) (74.7, 87.2)	80.7% (109/135) (74.1, 87.4)	71.1% (63.8, 78.3)	72.6% (65.0, 80.2)
≥ 200-350	86.3% (215/249) (82.1, 90.6)	82.3% (205/249) (77.6, 87.1)	80.7% (75.8, 85.7)	78.7% (73.6, 83.8)
≥ 350	89.1% (106/119) (83.5, 94.7)	85.0% (113/133) (78.9, 91.0)	84.0% (77.4, 90.7)	80.5% (73.6, 87.3)
Non-response				
Virologic failure (all patients)	9.5% (52/550)	4.2% (23/546)	11.5% (63/550) ^c	5.1% (28/546) ^d

	Rilpivirine + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 550	Efavirenz + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 546	Rilpivirine + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 550	Efavirenz + Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir disoproxil n = 546
	Week 48		Week 96	
By baseline viral load (copies/mL)				
≤ 100,000	4.2% (12/288)	2.3% (6/256)	5.9% (17/288)	2.4% (6/255)
> 100,000	15.3% (40/262)	5.9% (17/290)	17.6% (46/262)	7.6% (22/291)
Death	0	0.2% (1/546)	0	0.7% (4/546)
Discontinued due to adverse event (AE)	2.2% (12/550)	7.1% (39/546)	3.6% (20/550)	8.1% (44/546)
Discontinued for non-AE reason ^e	4.9% (27/550)	6.0% (33/546)	8% (44/550)	8.8% (48/546)

n = total number of patients per treatment group

a ITT TLOVR = Intention to treat time to loss of virologic response

b The difference of response rate is 1% (95% confidence interval -3% to 6%) using normal approximation.

c There were 17 new virologic failures between the week 48 primary analysis and week 96 (6 patients with baseline viral load ≤ 100,000 copies/mL and 11 patients with baseline viral load > 100,000 copies/mL). There were also reclassifications in the week 48 primary analysis with the most common being reclassification from virologic failure to discontinued for non-AE reasons.

d There were 10 new virologic failures between the week 48 primary analysis and week 96 (3 patients with baseline viral load ≤ 100,000 copies/mL and 7 patients with baseline viral load > 100,000 copies/mL). There were also reclassifications in the week 48 primary analysis with the most common being reclassification from virologic failure to discontinued for non-AE reasons.

e e.g. lost to follow up, non-compliance, withdrew consent.

Emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil + rilpivirine hydrochloride has been shown to be non-inferior in achieving HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL compared to emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil + efavirenz.

At week 96 the mean changes in CD4+ cell count from baseline were +226 x 10⁶ cells/L and +222 x 10⁶ cells/L for the rilpivirine and efavirenz treatment arms, respectively, of patients receiving the emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil background regimen.

There were no new cross-resistance patterns at week 96 compared to week 48. The resistance outcome for patients with protocol defined virological failure and phenotypic resistance at week 96 are shown in Table 6:

Table 6: Phenotypic resistance outcomes from studies C209 and C215 (pooled data for patients receiving rilpivirine hydrochloride or efavirenz in combination with emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil) at week 96 (based on resistance analysis)

	Rilpivirine + Emtricitabine/Tenofovir disoproxil n = 550	Efavirenz + Emtricitabine/Tenofovir disoproxil n = 546
Resistance to emtricitabine/lamivudine	7.3% (40/550)	0.9% (5/546)
Resistance to rilpivirine	5.6% (31/550)	0
Resistance to efavirenz	5.1% (28/550)	2.2% (12/546)

For those patients failing therapy with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead and who developed resistance to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead cross-resistance to other approved NNRTIs (etravirine, efavirenz, nevirapine) was generally seen.

Virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected patients
Study GS-US-264-0106

The efficacy and safety of switching from a ritonavir-boosted PI in combination with two NRTIs to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead STR was evaluated in a randomised, open-label study in virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected adults. Patients had to be on either their first or second antiretroviral regimen with no history of virologic failure, have no current or past history of resistance to any of the three components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, and must have been stably suppressed (HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL) for at least 6 months prior to screening. Patients were randomised in a 2:1 ratio to either switch to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead at baseline (Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead arm, n = 317), or stay on their baseline antiretroviral regimen for 24 weeks (SBR arm, n = 159) before switching to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead for an additional 24 weeks (Delayed Switch arm, n = 152). Patients had a mean age of 42 years (range 19-73), 88% were male, 77% were White, 17% were Black, and 17% were Hispanic/Latino. The mean baseline CD4 cell count was 584 x 10⁶ cells/L (range 42-1,484). Randomisation was stratified by use of tenofovir disoproxil and/or lopinavir/ritonavir in the baseline regimen.

Treatment outcomes through 24 weeks are presented in Table 7.

Table 7: Outcomes of randomised treatment in study GS-US-264-0106 at week 24^a

	Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead arm n = 317	Stayed on Baseline Regimen (SBR) arm n = 159
Virologic success after 24 weeks of treatment^b HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL	94% (297/317)	90% (143/159)
Virologic failure^c	1% (3/317)	5% (8/159)
No virologic data in week 24 window		
Discontinued study drug due to AE or death ^d	2% (6/317)	0%
Discontinued study drug due to other reasons and last available HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL ^e	3% (11/317)	3% (5/159)
Missing data during window but on study drug	0%	2% (3/159)
CD4+ median increase from baseline (x 10 ⁶ cells/L)	+10	+22

a Week 24 window is between day 127 and 210 (inclusive).

b Snapshot analysis.

c Includes patients who had HIV-1 RNA ≥ 50 copies/mL in the week 24 window, patients who discontinued early due to lack or loss of efficacy, patients who discontinued for reasons other than an adverse event (AE) or death, and at the time of discontinuation had a viral value of ≥ 50 copies/mL.

d Includes patients who discontinued due to AE or death at any time point from day 1 through the week 24 window resulting in no virologic data on treatment during the specified window.

e Includes patients who discontinued for reasons other than an AE, death or lack or loss of efficacy, e.g., withdrew consent, loss to follow-up, etc.

Switching to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead was non-inferior in maintaining HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL when compared to patients who stayed on a ritonavir-boosted PI in combination with two NRTIs [treatment difference (95% CI): + 3.8% (-1.6% to 9.1%)].

Among patients in the SBR arm who maintained their baseline regimen for 24 weeks and then switched to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, 92% (140/152) of patients had HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL after 24 weeks of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, consistent with the week 24 results for patients who switched to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead at baseline.

At week 48, 89% (283/317) of patients randomised to switch to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead at baseline (Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead) had HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL, 3% (8/317) were considered virologic failures (HIV RNA \geq 50 copies/mL), and 8% (26/317) did not have data available in the week 48 window. Of the 26 patients without data available in the week 48 window, 7 patients discontinued due to adverse event (AE) or death, 16 patients discontinued for other reasons, and 3 patients were missing data but remained on study drug. The median change in CD4+ cell count at week 48 was $+17 \times 10^6$ cells/L, in the on-treatment analysis.

There were 7/317 patients (2%) in the Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead arm and 6/152 patients (4%) in the Delayed Switch arm who permanently discontinued study drug due to a treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE). No patients discontinued from the study due to a TEAE in the SBR arm.

Study GS-US-264-0111

The efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of switching from efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil STR to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead STR were evaluated in an open-label study in virologically suppressed HIV-1 infected adults. Patients had to have previously only received efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil as their first antiretroviral regimen for at least three months, and wished to switch regimens due to efavirenz intolerance. Patients had to be stably suppressed for at least 8 weeks prior to study entry, have no current or past history of resistance to any of the three components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, and have HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at screening. Patients were switched from efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil to Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead without a washout period. Among 49 patients who received at least one dose of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead, 100% of patients remained suppressed (HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL) at week 12 and week 24. At week 48, 94% (46/49) of patients remained suppressed, and 4% (2/49) were considered virologic failures (HIV-1 RNA \geq 50 copies/mL). One patient (2%) did not have data available in the week 48 window; study drug was discontinued due to a protocol violation (i.e. reason other than AE or death) and the last available HIV-1 RNA was < 50 copies/mL.

Paediatric population

The European Medicines Agency has waived the obligation to submit the results of studies with Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in all subsets of the

paediatric population in the treatment of HIV-1 (see section 4.2 for information on paediatric use).

Pregnancy

Rilpivirine (taken as Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in 16 of 19 patients and another background regimen in 3 of 19 patients) was evaluated in study TMC114HIV3015 in pregnant women during the 2nd and 3rd trimesters, and postpartum. The pharmacokinetic data demonstrate that total exposure (AUC) to rilpivirine as a part of an antiretroviral regimen was approximately 30% lower during pregnancy compared with postpartum (6-12 weeks). The virologic response was generally preserved throughout the study: of the 12 patients that completed the study, 10 patients were suppressed at the end of the study; in the other 2 patients an increase in viral load was observed only postpartum, for at least 1 patient due to suspected suboptimal adherence. No mother to child transmission occurred in all 10 infants born to the mothers who completed the study and for whom the HIV status was available. Rilpivirine was well tolerated during pregnancy and postpartum. There were no new safety findings compared with the known safety profile of rilpivirine in HIV-1 infected adults (see sections 4.2, 4.4 and 5.2).

5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties

Absorption

The bioequivalence of one Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead film-coated tablet with one emtricitabine 200 mg hard capsule, one rilpivirine (as hydrochloride) 25 mg film-coated tablet and one tenofovir disoproxil 245 mg film-coated tablet was established following single dose administration to fed, healthy subjects. Following oral administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead with food emtricitabine is rapidly and extensively absorbed with maximum plasma concentrations occurring within 2.5 hours post-dose. Maximum tenofovir concentrations are observed in plasma within 2 hours and maximum plasma concentrations of rilpivirine are generally achieved within 4-5 hours. Following oral administration of tenofovir disoproxil to HIV infected patients, tenofovir disoproxil is rapidly absorbed and converted to tenofovir. The absolute bioavailability of emtricitabine from 200 mg hard capsules was estimated to be 93%. The oral bioavailability of tenofovir from tenofovir disoproxil tablets in fasted patients was approximately 25%. The absolute bioavailability of rilpivirine is unknown. The administration of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead to healthy adult subjects with either a light meal (390 kcal) or a standard meal (540 kcal) resulted in increased exposures of rilpivirine and tenofovir relative to fasting conditions. The C_{max} and AUC of rilpivirine increased by 34% and 9% (light meal) and 26% and 16% (standard meal), respectively. The C_{max} and AUC for tenofovir increased by 12% and 28% (light meal) and 32% and 38% (standard meal), respectively. Emtricitabine exposures were not affected by food. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead must be administered with food to ensure optimal absorption (see section 4.2).

Distribution

Following intravenous administration the volume of distribution of the single components emtricitabine and tenofovir was approximately 1,400 mL/kg and 800 mL/kg, respectively. After oral administration of the single components emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil, emtricitabine and tenofovir are widely distributed throughout the body. *In vitro* binding of emtricitabine to human plasma

proteins was < 4% and independent of concentration over the range of 0.02 to 200 µg/mL. *In vitro* binding of rilpivirine to human plasma proteins is approximately 99.7%, primarily to albumin. *In vitro* binding of tenofovir to plasma or serum protein was less than 0.7% and 7.2%, respectively, over the tenofovir concentration range 0.01 to 25 µg/mL.

Biotransformation

There is limited metabolism of emtricitabine. The biotransformation of emtricitabine includes oxidation of the thiol moiety to form the 3'-sulphoxide diastereomers (approximately 9% of dose) and conjugation with glucuronic acid to form 2'-O-glucuronide (approximately 4% of dose). *In vitro* experiments indicate that rilpivirine hydrochloride primarily undergoes oxidative metabolism mediated by the CYP3A system. *In vitro* studies have determined that neither tenofovir disoproxil nor tenofovir are substrates for the CYP450 enzymes. Neither emtricitabine nor tenofovir inhibited *in vitro* drug metabolism mediated by any of the major human CYP450 isoforms involved in drug biotransformation. Also, emtricitabine did not inhibit uridine-5'-diphosphoglucuronyl transferase, the enzyme responsible for glucuronidation.

Elimination

Emtricitabine is primarily excreted by the kidneys with complete recovery of the dose achieved in urine (approximately 86%) and faeces (approximately 14%). Thirteen percent of the emtricitabine dose was recovered in urine as three metabolites. The systemic clearance of emtricitabine averaged 307 mL/min. Following oral administration, the elimination half-life of emtricitabine is approximately 10 hours.

The terminal elimination half-life of rilpivirine is approximately 45 hours. After single dose oral administration of [¹⁴C]-rilpivirine, on average 85% and 6.1% of the radioactivity could be retrieved in faeces and urine, respectively. In faeces, unchanged rilpivirine accounted for on average 25% of the administered dose. Only trace amounts of unchanged rilpivirine (< 1% of dose) were detected in urine.

Tenofovir is primarily excreted by the kidney by both filtration and an active tubular transport system (human organic anion transporter 1 [hOAT1]) with approximately 70-80% of the dose excreted unchanged in urine following intravenous administration. The apparent clearance of tenofovir averaged approximately 307 mL/min. Renal clearance has been estimated to be approximately 210 mL/min, which is in excess of the glomerular filtration rate. This indicates that active tubular secretion is an important part of the elimination of tenofovir. Following oral administration, the elimination half-life of tenofovir is approximately 12 to 18 hours.

Pharmacokinetics in special populations

Elderly

Population pharmacokinetic analysis in HIV infected patients showed that rilpivirine pharmacokinetics is not different across the age range (18 to 78 years) evaluated, with only 2 patients aged 65 years of age or older.

Gender

Emtricitabine and tenofovir pharmacokinetics are similar in male and female patients. No clinically relevant differences in pharmacokinetics of rilpivirine have been observed between men and women.

Ethnicity

No clinically important pharmacokinetic differences due to ethnicity have been identified.

Paediatric population

In general, the pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in infants, children and adolescents (aged 4 months up to 18 years) is similar to those seen in adults. The pharmacokinetics of rilpivirine and tenofovir disoproxil in children and adolescents are under investigation. Dosing recommendations for paediatric patients cannot be made due to insufficient data (see section 4.2).

Renal impairment

Limited data from clinical studies support once daily dosing of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead in patients with mild renal impairment (CrCl 50-80 mL/min). However, long-term safety data for the emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil components of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead have not been evaluated in patients with mild renal impairment. Therefore, in patients with mild renal impairment Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead should only be used if the potential benefits of treatment are considered to outweigh the potential risks (see sections 4.2 and 4.4).

Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is not recommended for patients with moderate or severe renal impairment (CrCl < 50 mL/min). Patients with moderate or severe renal impairment require a dose interval adjustment of emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil that cannot be achieved with the combination tablet (see sections 4.2 and 4.4).

Pharmacokinetic parameters were mainly determined following administration of single doses of emtricitabine 200 mg or tenofovir disoproxil 245 mg to non-HIV infected patients with varying degrees of renal impairment. The degree of renal impairment was defined according to baseline CrCl (normal renal function when CrCl > 80 mL/min; mild impairment with CrCl = 50-79 mL/min; moderate impairment with CrCl = 30-49 mL/min and severe impairment with CrCl = 10-29 mL/min).

The mean (%CV) emtricitabine drug exposure increased from 12 (25%) µg•h/mL in patients with normal renal function, to 20 (6%) µg•h/mL, 25 (23%) µg•h/mL and 34 (6%) µg•h/mL, in patients with mild, moderate and severe renal impairment, respectively.

The mean (%CV) tenofovir drug exposure increased from 2,185 (12%) ng•h/mL in patients with normal renal function, to 3,064 (30%) ng•h/mL, 6,009 (42%) ng•h/mL and 15,985 (45%) ng•h/mL, in patients with mild, moderate and severe renal impairment, respectively.

In patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) requiring haemodialysis, between dialysis drug exposures substantially increased over 72 hours to 53 µg•h/mL (19%) of emtricitabine, and over 48 hours to 42,857 ng•h/mL (29%) of tenofovir.

A small clinical study was conducted to evaluate the safety, antiviral activity and pharmacokinetics of tenofovir disoproxil in combination with emtricitabine in HIV infected patients with renal impairment. A subgroup of patients with baseline CrCl between 50 and 60 mL/min, receiving once daily dosing, had a 2- to 4-fold increase in tenofovir exposure and worsening renal function.

The pharmacokinetics of rilpivirine has not been studied in patients with renal insufficiency. Renal elimination of rilpivirine is negligible. In patients with severe renal impairment or ESRD, plasma concentrations may be increased due to alteration of drug absorption, distribution and/or metabolism secondary to renal dysfunction. As rilpivirine is highly bound to plasma proteins, it is unlikely that it will be significantly removed by haemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis (see section 4.9).

Hepatic impairment

No dose adjustment of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is suggested but caution is advised in patients with moderate hepatic impairment. Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead has not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment (CPT Score C). Therefore, Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead is not recommended in patients with severe hepatic impairment (see sections 4.2 and 4.4).

The pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine has not been studied in patients with varying degrees of hepatic insufficiency.

Rilpivirine hydrochloride is primarily metabolised and eliminated by the liver. In a study comparing 8 patients with mild hepatic impairment (CPT Score A) to 8 matched controls and 8 patients with moderate hepatic impairment (CPT Score B) to 8 matched controls, the multiple dose exposure of rilpivirine was 47% higher in patients with mild hepatic impairment and 5% higher in patients with moderate hepatic impairment. Rilpivirine has not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment (CPT Score C) (see section 4.2). However, it may not be excluded that the pharmacologically active, unbound, rilpivirine exposure is significantly increased in moderate impairment.

A single 245 mg dose of tenofovir disoproxil was administered to non-HIV infected subjects with varying degrees of hepatic impairment defined according to CPT classification. Tenofovir pharmacokinetics was not substantially altered in subjects with hepatic impairment suggesting that no dose adjustment is required in these subjects. The mean (%CV) tenofovir C_{max} and $AUC_{0-\infty}$ values were 223 (34.8%) ng/mL and 2,050 (50.8%) ng•h/mL, respectively, in normal subjects compared with 289 (46.0%) ng/mL and 2,310 (43.5%) ng•h/mL in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment, and 305 (24.8%) ng/mL and 2,740 (44.0%) ng•h/mL in subjects with severe hepatic impairment.

Hepatitis B and/or hepatitis C virus co-infection

In general, emtricitabine pharmacokinetics in HBV infected patients was similar to those in healthy subjects and in HIV infected patients.

Population pharmacokinetic analysis indicated that hepatitis B and/or C virus co-infection had no clinically relevant effect on the exposure to rilpivirine.

Switching from an efavirenz-based regimen

The efficacy data from study GS-US-264-0111 (see section 5.1) indicates that the brief period of lower rilpivirine exposure does not impact antiviral efficacy of Emtricitabine/Rilpivirine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Gilead. Due to the decline in efavirenz plasma levels, the inductive effect decreased and rilpivirine concentrations started to normalise. During the time period of declining efavirenz plasma levels and increasing rilpivirine plasma levels after switching, none of the patients had efavirenz or rilpivirine levels below their respective IC_{90} levels at the same time. No dose adjustment is required following the switch from an efavirenz-containing regimen.

Pregnancy and postpartum

After taking rilpivirine 25 mg once daily as part of an antiretroviral regimen, the total exposure of rilpivirine was lower during pregnancy (similar for the 2nd and 3rd trimester) compared with postpartum. The decrease in the unbound free fraction of rilpivirine exposure (i.e. active) during pregnancy compared to postpartum was less pronounced than for total exposure of rilpivirine.

In women receiving rilpivirine 25 mg once daily during the 2nd trimester of pregnancy, mean intra-individual values for total rilpivirine C_{max} , AUC_{24h} and C_{min} values were 21%, 29% and 35% lower, respectively, as compared to postpartum; during the 3rd trimester of pregnancy, C_{max} , AUC_{24h} and C_{min} values were 20%, 31% and 42% lower, respectively, as compared to postpartum.

5.3 Preclinical safety data

Non-clinical data on emtricitabine reveal no special hazard for humans based on conventional studies of safety pharmacology, repeated dose toxicity, genotoxicity, carcinogenic potential, and toxicity to reproduction and development.

Non-clinical data on rilpivirine hydrochloride reveal no special hazard for humans based on studies of safety pharmacology, drug disposition, genotoxicity, carcinogenic potential, and toxicity to reproduction and development. Liver toxicity associated with liver enzyme induction was observed in rodents. In dogs cholestasis-like effects were noted.

Carcinogenicity studies with rilpivirine in mice and rats revealed tumorigenic potential specific for these species, but are regarded as of no relevance for humans.

Studies in animals have shown limited placenta passage of rilpivirine. It is not known whether placental transfer of rilpivirine occurs in pregnant women. There was no teratogenicity with rilpivirine in rats and rabbits.

Non-clinical data on tenofovir disoproxil reveal no special hazard for humans based on conventional studies of safety pharmacology, genotoxicity, carcinogenic potential, and toxicity to reproduction and development. Findings in repeated dose toxicity studies in rats, dogs and monkeys at exposure levels greater than or equal to clinical exposure levels and with possible relevance to clinical use included kidney and bone changes and a decrease in serum phosphate concentration. Bone toxicity was diagnosed as osteomalacia (monkeys) and reduced BMD (rats and dogs).

Genotoxicity and repeated dose toxicity studies of one month or less with the combination of emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil found no exacerbation of toxicological effects compared to studies with the separate components.

6 PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS

6.1 List of excipients

Tablet core

Croscarmellose sodium

Lactose monohydrate

Magnesium stearate

Microcrystalline cellulose

Polysorbate 20

Povidone

Pregelatinised maize starch

Film-coating

Hypromellose

Indigo carmine aluminium lake

Lactose monohydrate

Polyethylene glycol

Red iron oxide

Sunset yellow aluminium lake (E110)

Titanium dioxide

Triacetin

6.2 Incompatibilities

Not applicable.

6.3 Shelf life

3 years.

6.4 Special precautions for storage

Store in the original package in order to protect from moisture. Keep the bottle tightly closed.

6.5 Nature and contents of container

High density polyethylene (HDPE) bottle with a polypropylene child-resistant closure containing 30 film-coated tablets and a silica gel desiccant.

The following pack sizes are available: outer cartons containing 1 bottle of 30 film-coated tablets and outer cartons containing 90 (3 bottles of 30) film-coated tablets. Not all pack sizes may be marketed.

6.6 Special precautions for disposal

Any unused medicinal product or waste material should be disposed of in accordance with local requirements.

7 MARKETING AUTHORISATION HOLDER

Gilead Sciences Ltd
280 High Holborn
London
WC1V 7EE
United Kingdom

8 MARKETING AUTHORISATION NUMBER(S)

PLGB 11972/0015

**9 DATE OF FIRST AUTHORISATION/RENEWAL OF THE
AUTHORISATION**

01/01/2021

10 DATE OF REVISION OF THE TEXT

02/10/2025